

AUG 4, 2020

MEMORANDUM FOR PATRICIA W. SILVEY

Deputy Assistant Secretary for

Mine Safety and Health Administration

THROUGH: TIMOTHY R. WATKINS

Administrator for

Mine Safety and Health Enforcement

FROM: THOMAS W. CHARBONEAU Director, Office of Assessments

SUBJECT: Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA)

Accountability Division Review

Morgantown District and

×

Introduction

This memorandum summarizes the Accountability Division's review of the Morgantown District and
The review focused on enforcement activities at the
however, this district has inspection oversight for both metal
and nonmetal and coal mines. The review also included evaluation of District and Field Office
activities, level of enforcement, and MSHA supervisory and managerial oversight activities.

Purpose

The purpose of this accountability review was to determine whether MSHA enforcement policies, procedures and guidance were being followed consistently and to assess whether mission critical enforcement activities were accomplished.

Overview

Accountability Division Specialist Jerry Kissel	ll conducted the review in in accordance with
the annual accountability review plan schedule	and to measure the effectiveness of cross-trained
inspectors under the "One MSHA" initiative.	The review focused on a Regular Safety and Health
Inspection (E01) at the	(ID No.
Event No. completed in	The review also included other inspection activities
and District and Field Office oversight as ident	ified in the attached Accountability Division checklist
(Attachment A). Due to travel restrictions resu	ulting from the March 2020 National Emergency
declaration, the site visit portion of the review	could not be performed.

Mine Site Information

The mine is an	operation located in	ing
approximately	employees, working one ten-hour production shift per day, five days a week. The	he
operation	After drilling and blasting, the material is hau	iled
by truck to an un	derground crusher. It is then transported to the surface, processed through the on	-site
screening plant a	nd made ready for commerce.	

Review Results

The had historically inspected coal operations. Under the "One MSHA" initiative, the office acquired responsibilities to inspect metal and nonmetal operations. The inspectorate was cross-trained to inspect the metal and nonmetal properties. In December 2019, the inspection procedures handbooks for metal and nonmetal and coal mines were combined into one handbook, Mine Safety and Health Enforcement General Inspection Procedures Handbook, PH19-IV/V-1, December 2019 (GIPH).

The E01 inspection report reviewed for the mine was thoroughly documented. No enforcement actions were issued during the inspection.

Positive findings for this review included:

- For the E01 inspection report reviewed, areas and equipment were documented consistent with the GIPH.
- The inspector documented safety talks with miners and contractors.
- This review did not identify any issues that require a corrective action plan.

Based on the review of the E01 inspection report, Event No. , and discussions with the Field Office and District management, the enforcement levels appear to be appropriate for the mine.

Specialist Kissell discussed with district personnel some inspection procedural guidelines as described in the Hazard Conditions Complaint Procedures Handbook, PH15-I-08(2). A general outline of the discussion topic is included in an attachment to this memorandum (Attachment B).

Attachments

- A. Accountability Division Checklist
- B. Discussion Topic

Attachment A - Accountability Division Checklist

Some questions may not be applicable to the particular mine site or office and would not be a part of this review.

Questions 1-16 apply to the regular mine inspection report reviewed and the mine site visit.

Question Number	Question	Answer
Question 1	Determine if complete and thorough E01 inspections are being conducted	Adequate – Yes
	and/or if policy and procedures were properly followed.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 2	Determine if documentation for inspections are documented	Adequate – Yes
	according to inspection procedures.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 3	Determine if citations and orders issued during previous inspections	Adequate – Yes
	were properly evaluated for gravity, negligence, level of enforcement,	Corrective Action Needed – No
	number of persons affected, and supported by documentation.	Comments – None
Question 4	Evaluate examination of required record books and postings for	Adequate – Yes
	compliance with applicable standards.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments –None
Question 5	Evaluate examination of the required mine maps.	Adequate – Yes
		Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 6	Evaluate the observation of the mining cycle and conditions in the	Adequate – Yes
	active working area during the review.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None

Question Number	Question	Answer
Question 7	Evaluate the air quantity, quality, and gas checks during the review.	Adequate – Yes
		Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – Even though there was
		no site visit, documentation reviewed showed inspectors bump tested gas
		detectors daily before traveling to the
		mine indicating the use of gas
		detectors to monitor air quality
		during underground inspections.
Question 8	Evaluate the examination of electrical	Adequate – Yes
	equipment, transformer stations, and/or	
	electrical circuits.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments –None
Question 9	Evaluate examination for permissibility	Adequate - Not applicable
	during the review.	
		Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – Permissibility
		compliance requirements do not
		apply to the mine reviewed.
Question 10	Determine if E01 inspections include examinations of the conveyor belts,	Adequate –Yes
	belt drives, belt entry,	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Questions 11	Evaluate, during the review, the	Adequate – Not applicable
	inspection of at least one set of seals, including methods for obtaining	Corrective Action Needed – No
	samples from sealed area.	Comments – The mine reviewed is a
		metal and nonmetal mine. This item
		applies to underground coal mines
		only.
Question 12	Determine if close-out discussions are being conducted.	Adequate – Yes
	oung conducted.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments - None

Question Number	Question	Answer
Question 13	Determine for coal mines only, after an in-mine visit, if approved plans	Adequate – Not applicable
	(Ventilation, Roof Control, Emergency Response Plan (ERP),	Corrective Action Needed – No
	etc.) are compatible with mining conditions and equipment.	Comments – The mine reviewed is an underground metal and nonmetal mine
Question 14	Determine if a proper examination of the Atmospheric Monitoring	Adequate – Not applicable
	System (AMS) and Automatic Fire Sensor and Warning Device is being	Corrective Action Needed – No
	conducted.	Comments – The mine reviewed is an underground metal and nonmetal
		mine. This applies to underground coal mines only.
Question 15	Determine if proper procedures for conducting, documenting, and	Adequate – Yes
	reviewing MSHA health inspections are being followed.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 16	Evaluate the overall condition of the mine relative to the level of	Adequate – Yes
	enforcement documented in previously completed inspections.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None

Questions 17-35 apply to Field Office and District specific items.

Question Number	Question	Answer
Question 17	Determine if inspectors have sufficient equipment and supplies to	Adequate – Yes
	conduct thorough inspections.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 18	Determine if inspectors have an understanding of when a violation of	Adequate – Yes
	Section 103(a) for Advance Notice occurs and whether appropriate	Corrective Action Needed – No
	citations are issued for Advance Notice.	Comments - None

Question Number	Question	Answer
Question 19	Determine if the District Manager has made a determination to initiate or decline investigation within 30 calendar days for Possible Knowing/Willful (PKW) Reviews.	
Question 20	Evaluate 103(i) spot inspection (E02) reports for the office being reviewed for compliance with agency policies and procedures.	Adequate – Yes Corrective Action Needed – No Comments – None
Question 21	Determine if Hazard Complaint inspections/investigations are being conducted according to policy and procedures.	Adequate – Yes Corrective Action Needed – No Comments – None
Question 22	Determine if supervisors are monitoring inspector time and activity to ensure proper use of time, including off-shift and weekend work, by all inspectors.	Adequate – Yes Corrective Action Needed – No Comments – None
Question 23	Determine if required supervisory accompanied activities and office reviews are being conducted and documented according to agency policy and procedures.	Adequate – Yes Corrective Action Needed – No Comments – None
Question 24	Determine if a 104(d) tracking system is in place and being kept current at the office being reviewed.	Adequate – Yes Corrective Action Needed – No Comments – None

Question Number	Question	Answer
Question 25	Determine if the Electronic Mine Files are maintained and reviewed	Adequate – Yes
	according to current agency policy and procedures.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 26	Determine if supervisors are rotating the mine assignments at	Adequate – Yes
	least annually among inspectors assigned to their Field Office.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 27	Determine if retraining for supervisors, inspectors, and	Adequate – Yes
	specialists is up to date and being tracked.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 28	Determine if District Managers, Assistant District Managers, and	Adequate – Yes
	supervisors are conducting required mine visits and properly	Corrective Action Needed – No
	completing the required spreadsheet.	Comments – None
Question 29	Determine if supervisors are visiting each active underground	Adequate – Yes
	mine at least annually.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None

Question Number	Question	Answer
Question 30	Determine if plan reviews are in compliance with current agency	Adequate – Yes
	policy and procedures.	Corrective Action Needed – No
		Comments – None
Question 31	Determine if Assistant District Managers are conducting the	
	required second level reviews.	No
Question 32	Determine if district management personnel are reviewing work	Adequate – Yes
	products and reports for accuracy and completeness.	Corrective Action Needed – No
	-	Comments – None
Question 33	Determine if managers and supervisors are using standardized	Adequate – Yes
	reports to review critical data relevant to inspections and	Corrective Action Needed – No
	investigations.	Comments – None

Question Number	Question	Answer
Question 34	Determine if information (mine status, methane liberation, number of employees, etc.) is being entered into the MSHA Standardized Information System (MSIS) accurately and in a timely manner.	Adequate – Yes Corrective Action Needed – No Comments – None
Question 35	Determine if the district's Roof Control Plan Review Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) follow established Program Policy Manual requirements and include guidance to follow the Roof Control Plan Review Handbook to include: • Checking that required information is submitted • Checking for communication with other plan approval groups • Assuring that designated MSHA personnel contact the operator for additional information • Discussing results of on-site evaluations with the operator and identified miners' representatives	Adequate – Yes Corrective Action Needed – No Comments – None

Attachment B - Discussion Topic

Specialist Kissell conducted the closeout of the review with district management staff on	
The discussion addressed the Hazard Condition Complaint Procedures Handbook, PH15-I-08(2)
requirements for citation issuance on violations not linked to the allegations in the complaint being	
investigated. The discussion was regarding an isolated, non-systemic incident where hazard complain	ıt
inspections conducted by one inspector were found to have issued citations, not linked to the	
allegations, under the same hazardous condition complaint inspection event.	

The Morgantown District reviewed the requirements of the Hazardous Conditions Complaint Procedures Handbook Specific to this discussion with all supervisors on